

ABSTRACT REVIEW CRITERIA

To be accepted to present an oral presentation in the Advanced Clinical Practice & Emerging Research Session, the Grand Rounds Session, or display a poster presentation in the poster exhibit hall during the upcoming IIWCG Conference, each proposed presentation and outcomes must be described clearly in an abstract.

Each abstract will be blind peer-reviewed by a team of individuals who are experts in clinical practice or laboratory research in the field of wound healing.

Each abstract will be reviewed based on specific criteria for the category in which it is placed, as outlined below. These abstracts are blindly reviewed by a team of experts. However, your abstract should not be considered "peer reviewed."

Do not label them peer-reviewed in any capacity during the Conference or other unrelated instances.

Case Series or Complex Single Case Study (CS)

- 1. The description is clear and potentially reproducible depending upon the circumstances.
- 2. There is an absolute level of patient complexity that incorporates the use of various dressings or technologies over an extended period, or wound uniqueness, for a single Case Study. A Case Series presents outcomes from at least three (3) cases of interest.
- 3. New, relevant, or current interventions or technology are utilized.
- 4. The purpose, materials, methods, and outcomes are clearly presented.

Clinical Research (CR)

- 1. The purpose of the research is clearly stated.
- 2. The method describes the study and includes details of materials utilized.
- 3. Results and conclusions are clearly stated. Interim data analysis can be presented for ongoing research or pending final analysis.
- 4. The information is current and relevant.

Evidence-Based Practice (EBP)

- 1. The project description states a clear focus and contributes to quality care or provides cutting edge information.
- 2. The project is clearly stated, and outcomes are evidence-based.
- 3. The project can be replicated, if applicable.
- 4. The project provides valid practice recommendations.

Health Economics (HE)

- 1. The project is impactful on the subject's bottom line.
- 2. The project's primary focus is clear and pertinent.
- 3. The project design, execution, and outcomes are clearly stated.
- 4. This information is significant, relevant, and current.

Laboratory Research (LR)

- 1. Background, objectives, materials, and methods are clearly stated.
- 2. Appropriately designed to answer stated objectives.
- 3. Project outcomes and benefits are clear, objective, and pertinent.
- 4. Information is important, relevant, and current.

Practice Innovations (PI)

- 1. The project is innovative and presents a new approach.
- 2. The project's primary aspect is clear and pertinent.
- 3. The project outcomes are clearly stated.
- 4. Information is important, relevant, and current.